Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/535,414	SHARMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	STEVEN C. POHNERT	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>STEVEN C. POHNERT</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tu Phan Kerr</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 September 2009</u>	Time: <u>1:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Enablment and New Matter		
Claims discussed: 2		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner contacted applicant's representative to discuss the New matter objection to the specification and the Enablement rejection that in part stems from the new Matter issues. The examiner noted the discrepancies between the different tables and sequence listing in view of the multiple different amendments to the specification. These are described more fully in the attached office action. It was suggested that the most persuasive way to demonstrate the amendments with respect to the nucleotide sequences contain no new matter is to provide an alignment of the sequences of the WO document as published and the instant sequence listing. No specific discussion occurred on the New Matter issues involving deletions from the specification.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)